Soil Environment Services Ltd

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

Stokes Lane Solar Farm Limited

Stokes Lane Solar Farm



Our Ref: SES/S2/MS#1 Date: 11th Oct 20212

Client:

Stokes Lane Solar Farm Limited 22 Grosvenor Gardens, London, United Kingdom SW1W 0DH

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

Stokes Lane Solar Farm

A report prepared on behalf of *Soil Environment Services* by:

Dr Robin S Davies BSc PhD F.I.SoilSci PGC Contaminated Land Management Managing Director

This report has been prepared by Soil Environment Services with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of The Contract with The Client. The report is the property of The Client who can assign this report to any third party who will then be afforded the same assurances as detailed within the terms of the original Contract with The Client.

Soil Environment Services

Agricultural Land Classification, Contaminated Land Risk Assessment, Mineral Extraction Soil Planning Unit 8, Stocksfield Hill, Stocksfield, Northumberland, NE43 7TN Tel: 01661 844 827, Email: rd@soilenvironmentservices.co.uk www.soilenvironmentservices.co.uk

CONTENTS

			Page
1.	INTROD	UCTION	
	1.1 Metho		4
		ous ALC gradings	4
2.	CLIMAT	IC LIMITATIONS	5
	2.1 Overa	all climate	5
	2.2 Local	climate	5
3.	SITE LIM	MITATIONS	5
	3.1 Gradi	ient	5
	3.2 Micro	orelief	5
	3.3 Flood	ing	6
4.	SOIL LIN	MITATIONS	7
	4.1 Textu	re and structure	7
	4.2 Depth	ı	7
	4.3 Stonii	ness	7
	4.4 Chem	nical	7
5.	INTERAC	CTIVE LIMITATIONS	8
	5.1 Wetn	ess	8
	5.2 Droug	ghtiness	8
	5.3 Erosio	on	8
6.	AGRICU	LTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION	9
	6.1 Most	limiting factor	9
	6.2 Curre	ent ALC grading	9
DR A	AWING 1	ALC Grade and survey points	
24	, 🔾 🛕		
APP	PENDIX A	Survey profile data sheet	
STA	TEMENT OF	COMPETENCE	
GE	NERAL INFO	DRMATION SOURCES	

GLOSSARY

1. INTRODUCTION

An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) has been carried out on ~51 ha of land north and south of Rookery Farm Lane, Monk Sherborne (Drawing 1). The site is centred on OS Grid Ref. 460705,155117.

The survey was conducted on the 21st September 2021 and classified the land into one or more of the below grades (see Drawing 1). On the survey date, the site was in agricultural use.

1.1 Methodology

Agricultural land is classified into the following grades according to the 1988 guidelines¹.

Grade	Description
1	Excellent quality agricultural land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use.
2	Very good quality agricultural land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivation or harvesting.
3a	Good quality agricultural land capable of producing moderate to high yields of a narrow
3b	range of arable crops or moderate yields of a wider range of crops. Moderate quality agricultural land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops or lower yields of a wider range of crops.
4	Poor quality agricultural land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields.
5	Very poor quality agricultural land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

The classification includes an initial desktop investigation to examine previously mapped soil types and to note the drift and solid geology followed by the field survey consisting of auger borings at one every 100 m in general and a pit excavated in each of the main soil types to confirm the structures and stone content if needed. Laboratory analysis of soil textures is undertaken if needed in order to confirm textures such the heavy/medium clay and medium/fine sand categories or stone content. All site survey profile data is listed in Appendix A.

All of the potential limitations are assessed and then the most limiting factor dictating the ALC grade was determined for this site and is detailed in Table 2.

1.2 **Previous ALC gradings**

Grading on the MAFF (1983) 1: 250 000 Provisional map indicated the site is located on ALC Grade 3 land. A semi detailed survey (ADAS, 1996) for most of the site indicates the land is graded as 3a and 2 due to droughtiness.

Page 4 Soil Environment Services

11/10/2022

2. **CLIMATIC LIMITATIONS**

2.1 **Overall climate**

The climatological data for the entire site centre is detailed in Table 1.

Table 1 Climatological information ³												
Factor	Units	Value										
Altitude AOD	m	115										
Accumulated temperature	day°C (Jan-June)	1402.2										
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	783.4										
Field Capacity Days	days	167.5										
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	97.5										
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	87.0										
Overall climate ALC	Gra	de 1										
Grade												

Overall climate will not result in the most significant limiting factor for this site.

2.2. **Local climate**

Local climate will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

3 SITE LIMITATIONS

3.1 Gradient

The gradient will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

3.2 **Microrelief**

The microrelief will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Page 5 Soil Environment Services

3.3 **Flooding**

A low or no risk of flooding from surface waters or rivers has been identified (https://floodwarning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk).

Page 6 Soil Environment Services

4 **SOIL LIMITATIONS**

4.1 **Texture and structure**

The soils are mainly medium silty clay loam topsoils over silty clay loam subsoils to around 50-70 cn depth over soft and in places hard chalk. The structure in the subsoil is moderate, medium sub angular blocky. Little significant variation exists over most of the site other than deeper subsoils over the chalk in the valley bottoms.

The site has previously been mapped as having soils of the Andover Association. Andover 1 soils are mapped as: Shallow well drained calcareous silty soils over chalk on slopes and crests. Deep calcareous and non-calcareous fine silty soils in valley bottoms. Striped soil patterns locally. (www.landis.org.uk).

Superficial Geology

1:50 000 scale superficial deposits description:

None recorded

Bedrock Geology

1:50 000 scale bedrock geology description:

Seaford Chalk Formation - Chalk. Sedimentary bedrock

4.2 **Depth**

Soil depth will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

4.3 **Stoniness**

Stoniness is not a direct significant limiting factor for soils noted on site.

4.4 Chemical

Chemical contamination will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Page 7 Soil Environment Services

5. INTERACTIVE LIMITATIONS

5.1 Wetness

The combination of a Wetness Class of I for the soils the soils (see Appendix A) with the Field Capacity Days of 167.5 and a topsoil texture of calcareous medium silty clay loam results in an ALC Grade of 1.

5.2. Droughtiness

The Available Water Capacity which subsequently when considered with respect to the Moisture Deficit for wheat and potatoes resulted in a droughtiness limitation for wheat and hence ALC Grade of 3a over most of the site. In places in the valley bottoms in the deeper subsoil areas the droughtiness is less severe and results in ALC Grade 2.

5.3 Erosion

Erosion will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Soil Environment Services Page 8

6. AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

6.1 Most limiting factor/s

Grade 3a areas

These areas are limited by droughtiness for wheat over the majority of the site.

Grade 2 areas

Droughtiness in the deeper sub-soils is less severe and results in ALC Grade 2 in these areas.

6.2 Current grading

This survey has resulted in an Agricultural Land Classification of the following grades (Drawing 1):

7	Table 2.	ALC	gradings and limitations
Grade	ha	%	Limitation
1			
2	4	7.8	Droughtiness
3a	47	92.2	Droughtiness
3b			
4			
5			
Non-agricultural land			
Total	51	100%	

Soil Environment Services Page 9

DRAWING 1


ALC Grade

ALC Grades Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3a Grade 3b Grade 4 Grade 5 Non agricultural land Boring Pit

Soil Environment Services

Drawing Title: ALC Grade Drawing No.: 1

Scale: 1:10000 Date: 21/09/2022



APPENDIX A

Soil profile data

Notes

All abbreviations relating to soil parameters are standard and derived from the guidance documents:

Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF. 1988. Soil Survey Field Handbook. Technical Monograph No.5. Soil Survey of England and Wales. 1976.

- The pit data is detailed in this table and information on structure and stone content copied to the appropriate boring profiles.
- 3 Any blanks or zeros in the cells indicate the data is not needed or appropriate for that cell.
- 4 If 'NA' is inserted in a cell the information is not appropriate on this occasion.
- 5. Boring or pit locations are directly (within 2 m accuracy) on the grid reference corresponding to the points on the map unless otherwise stated.
- A point directly marked on a track, boundary or other feature will be moved 2-3 m off the point or omitted if surrounding points and soil types allow.
- 7. Borings that are potentially within 15 m of a gas pipeline are limited to 0.4 m depth and the strata description in the data table below this depth will be extrapolated from nearby borings and upper strata characteristics.
- 8. The Observation Density is 1 per ha on a 100 m grid using a semi Free Survey method if appropriate*. The letter 'B' in the second column of the data table refers to an observation point at which a boring may have been undertaken. In some situations it is not possible to visit the location due to for example crop status or animals in a field. In some cases the location is visited and observation of the soils at the surface is sufficient. In all cases the soil, geology, topography, flood risk and aerial crop patterns are assessed from published sources and the soils will be subject to a full 120 cm depth boring either side of a non-visited or non-bored point. If all data sources are agreeable, a soil pattern can be established.
 - * British Society of Soil Science. Working With Soil The Professional Competency Scheme. Agricultural Land Classification: England and Wales. How2 sheet 4.2.4. 2018.
- 9. For moisture balance calculations, *strongly, moderately* and *well developed* structure will equate to *good, moderate* or *poor* structure terms respectively in Table 14 of the guidelines.
- 10. Pit information in addition to that listed in the table below will be detailed in Section 4.1 and 4.3 if needed.

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts./ black ferro. conc. %/ depth	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistence)	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10					
1		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	6 77	2-	6 77	2
1			2/	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
2		В	≤7	45	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-19.02	3a	-8.48	2
				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	_							19	40	10	_				
3		В	≤7	55 120	#	MZCL		10YR62				25 0	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0	10	10	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120		СП						0									0	0	0	0.5				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	Ū	10					
				55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7				
4		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
5		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
,			٠,	120		СН						0							•	-	0	0	1	0.5	-0.77	Ja	0.77	-
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
6		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5				
				120		NATC:	B.	100/0.43				0	C1:								0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	n	MCAD	MD					19	10	10	7				
7		В	≤7	50 70	#	MZCL		10YR62				30	CH	P P	MSAB M	MD			1	1	17 10	10 7	10	7 0.5	1.90	3a	18.44	1
				120		СН						0		r	IVI						0	0	0	0.5				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	U	10	U				
				45	#	MZCL		10YR62				30	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7				
8		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-19.55	3a	-9.01	2
				120								0									0	0 0		0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				10	СН								19		10					
9		Р	≤7	50	#	MZCL		10YR54				10	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-10.65	22	-0.11	,
9		P	≥/	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-10.05	3a	-0.11	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
10		В	≤7	55 #	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5		34		
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	-	NAC A D	MD					19	10	10	-				
11		В	≤7	50 120	#	MZCL		10YR62				25 0	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 10 10 7 0 0 1 0.5		-11.40	3a	-0.86	2		
				120		CII						0									0	0 0 0						
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
		_		55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD				_	17	10 10 7				6 77		
12		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1		0	1	0.5	-11.40	3a	6.77	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
13		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17		10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5				
				120				40/042				0	611								0	0	0	0				
				30	#	MZCL	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25	CH	Р	MSAD	MD					19 17	10	10	7				
14		В	≤7	55 120	#	MZCL		1011102				0	CIT	-	MSAB	IVID			1	1	0	10	10	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	Ė	10	<u> </u>				
15		_	ایرا	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			4	4	17	10	10	7	6.7-		c ==	
15		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10					
16		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	_							19		10	_				
17		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120 120		СН						0									0	0	0	0.5				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				10	СН								19	U	10	U				
				80	#	MZCL	i i	10YR54				10	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7				
18		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	18.45	2	32.49	1
				120								0									0	0	0					
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10					
19		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	32	6.77	2
-5		3	-'	120		СН						0							1 1 0	0	1	0.5	3.77	3a	6.77	-		
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10					
20) B ≤	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2	
-		.		120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	s/k	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistenc e)	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
21		В	≤7	30 55 120 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0	CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0	10 0 0	10 10 1	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
22		В	≤7	30 55 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0	10	10 10 1	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
23		В	≤7	120 30 55	0	MZCL MZCL	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17	10	0 10 10	7	677	2-	6.77	2
23			2/	120 120 30		CH	N	10YR43				0 0 5	СН							1	0 0 19	0	1 0 10	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
24		В	≤7	70 120 120 30	0	MZCL CH MZCL	N	10YR62 10YR43				25 0 0 5	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0 0 19	10 0 0	10 1 0 10	7 0.5 0	7.10	2	29.64	1
25		В	≤7	55 120 120	#	MZCL CH	IN	10YR62				25 0	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0 0	10 0 0	10 10 1	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
26		В	≤7	30 45 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0	CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0	10	10 10 1	7 0.5	-19.02	3a	-8.48	2
27		В	≤7	120 30 55	0	MZCL	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17	10	0 10 10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120 120 30	0	MZCL	N	10YR43				0 0 5	СН	D	NAC A D	MD					0 0 19	0	1 0 10	0.5				
28		В	≤7	55 120 120 30	# 0	MZCL CH MZCL	N	10YR62 10YR43				25 0 0 5	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0 0 19	0 0	10 1 0 10	7 0.5 0	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
29		В	≤7	55 120 120	# # 0	MZCL		10YR62				25 0 0	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0 0	10 0 0	10 1 0	7 0.5 0	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
30		В	≤7	30 55 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0	CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0	10	10 10 1	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
31		В	≤7	120 30 75 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25 0	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17 0	10 0	0 10 10	7 0.5	11.73	2	29.64	1
32		В	≤7	30 55 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25 0	CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17 0	10 0	0 10 10	7 0.5	11.73	2	6.77	2
33		В	≤7	120 30 55	0		N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25	CH CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17	10	0 10 10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120 120 30 55	0	MZCL MZCL	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 0 5 25	CH	P	MSAB	MD					0 0 19 17	0 0 10	1 0 10 10	0.5				
34		В	≤7	120 120 30		CH	N	10YR43				0 0 5	СН						1	1	0 0 19	0	1 0 10	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
35		Р	≤7	70 120 120	0	СН		10YR62				25 0 0	СН	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0 0	10 0 0	10 1 0	7 0.5 0	7.10	2	29.64	1
36		В	≤7	30 55 120 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0 0	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0 0	10 0 0	10 10 1 0	7 0.5 0	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
37		В	≤7	30 55 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				5 25 0	CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	19 17 0	10	10 10 1	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
38		В	≤7	120 30 55 120	#	MZCL MZCL CH	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25 0	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17 0	10	0 10 10	7 0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
39		В	≤7	120 30 55	0 #		N	10YR43 10YR62				0 5 25	CH CH	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	0 19 17	10	0 10 10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2
				120 120 30 80	0	MZCL MZCL	N	10YR43 10YR62				0 0 5 25	CH	P	MSAB	MD					0 0 19 17	0 0 10	1 0 10 10	0.5				
40		В	≤7	120 120	0	CH		1011102				80	HR	г	DACIVI	יאוט			1	1	10 0	7	1 0	0.5	23.55	2	29.64	1

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts./ black ferro.conc. %/ depth	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistenc e)	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)				
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10									
				55	#	MZCL	ļ.,	10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7								
41		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10									
42		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120		СН						0								-	_	•		1	0	0	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	_							19	40	10	-								
43		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25 0	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17 0	10	10	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120 120		СН						0									0	0	0	0.5								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10									
				55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7								
44		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10									
45		В	≤7	65	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	2.48	3a	22.02	1				
3				120		СН						0							-	•	0	0	1	0.5	2.40	50	LLIOL	•				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
			30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	_							19		10	_									
46		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120 120		СН						0									0	0	0	0.5								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	U	10	U								
	47 В			55	#	MZCL	ļ.,	10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7								
47		В	≤7	120 #	СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2					
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30	MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10										
48		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
40			٠.	120		СН						0							•	-	0	0	1 0.5		-6.//	34	0.77	-				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH								19		10									
49		В	≤7	50	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-11.40	3a	-0.86	2				
				120 120		СН						0									0	0	0	0.5								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	U	10	0								
				55	#	MZCL	ļ.,	10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7								
50		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10									
51		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
51				120		СН						0									0	0	1	0.5								
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	CH	_							19	40	10	-								
52		В	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120 120		СН						0									0	0	0	0.5								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19	U	10	U								
				55			i.	10YR62				25	CH	Р	MSAB	MD					17	10	10	7								
53		В	≤7	120		СН						0							1	1	0	0	1	0.5	-6.77	3a	6.77	2				
				120								0									0	0	0	0								
				30		MZCL	N	10YR43				5	СН								19		10									
54	54 B ≤7	≤7	55	#	MZCL		10YR62				25	СН	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	17	10	10	7	-6.77	3a	6.77	2					
34			120		СН						0							1	•	0	0	1	0.5	0.,,	30	0						
			120								0									0	0	0	0									

Statement of competence - Agricultural land Classification

SES Ltd undertake several dozen Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) or Land Capability Classifications for Agriculture (LCCA- Scotland) surveys a year and have worked on sites up to 1000 ha including housing, roads, solar farm and mineral extraction developments.. We have been undertaking ALC surveys for 25 years and have won many contracts to supply Land Classification reports to local authorities as part of their strategic development plans. A number of our staff have attended the training course Agricultural Land Classification: England and Wales. Working with Soil – The IPSS Professional Competency Scheme. BSSS & DEFRA.

DR ROBIN DAVIES BSc PhD F.I.SoilSci. (Managing Director)

- Fellow of The British Society of Soil Science
- Council Member of The Institute of Professional Soil Scientists for 4 years.
- PhD Soil Physics Agricultural land drainage University of Newcastle upon Tyne
- Founder and Managing Director of Soil Environment Services Limited for 25 years.

Selected peer reviewed scientific papers:

- * Soil nitrogen depletion the threat from soil stockpiling. Environmental Scientist: Journal of The Institution of Environmental Sciences. 1997.
- * Nitrogen loss from a soil, restored after surface-mining. Journal of Environmental Quality, 1995
- * The influence of soil factors on the growth of a grass/clover sward on a restored site in Northumberland. Grass & Forage Science, 1994.
- * The effect of post-restoration cropping regime on some physical properties of a restored soil. Soil Use & Management, 1994
- * Water availability in a restored soil. Soil Use & Management, 1992.
- * A laboratory Method for Investigating the Stabilisation of Mole Channels. J. Agric. Eng. Res. 1991.

Louise Tavasso BSc (Hons). (Soil surveyor/ Environmental Consultant)

Member of British Society of Soil Science

Postgraduate short course Contaminated Land Risk assessment – LQM Nottingham University

Worked for Soil Environment Services Limited for 16 years. Environmental consultant with initial work in contaminated land risk assessment and since 2011 as assistant soil surveyor with last three years as lead consultant on agricultural land classification surveys. All work areas have required field survey and identification and description of soils combined with an understanding of soil processes for reporting.

Completed the BSSS Agricultural Land Classification Course -2021.



Main areas of specialisation

1 Agricultural Land Classification

Soil survey and Agricultural Land Classification for planning applications –, roads, housing, solar parks. Fully conversant with the procedures of the *Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales, Guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land*, 1988, MAFF, London.

2 Soil survey for habitat restoration

Soil survey and nutrient analysis assessment for conversion of farmland to species rich grassland.

3 Contaminated land risk assessment

Phase 1 site survey risk assessment of contaminated land; site investigation, on-site monitoring; risk analysis, modelling and communication; recommendations for Phase 2 and remediation options.

Examples of Agricultural Land Classification (ALC or LCCA Scotland) consultancy work

Kier Mining. Greenburn Opencast Coal Site. Soils and deep peat survey for LCCA report soil resources planning. 2011

Newcastle International Airport Ltd. ALC survey for solar park development. 2021.

Examples of soil survey habitat creation consultancy work

BSG Ecology. Backwork Estate – farmland conversion to wildflower meadow. 2020.

Private garden owner. Soil survey and recommendation for drainage system design. 2021

Examples of contaminated land consultancy work

Numerous risk assessments on petrol stations for hydrocarbon leakages (2006-2019) Farm building risk assessments for conversion to residential housing (2006-2019)

SES Ltd ALC CS V1 2021

GENERAL INFORMATION SOURCES

- **1.** Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF. 1988.
- **2.** *Soil Survey Field Handbook.* Technical Monograph No.5. Soil Survey of England and Wales.1976.
- 3. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification, The Met. Office 1989
- **4.** *Soil Map of England and Wales: 1:250 000*. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.
- 5. Soils and Their Use in South East England. Soil Survey of England and Wales,
- 6. Agricultural Land Classification Map 1:250 000. MAFF 1983.
- **7.** *Risk of Flooding:* https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-floodrisk
- **8.** Geology of Britain Viewer. Reproduced with the permission of the British Geological Survey ©NERC. All rights Reserved
- **9.** Butler, B E. Soil Classification for Soil Survey Monographs on Soil Survey (1980) Clarendon Press, Oxford
- 10. Munsell Soil Colour Charts, Munsell Colour, Grand Rapids 1994.

GLOSSARY

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

SBT:

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

Wheat

WHT:

BAR: Barley **BRA**: Brassicas **BOG:** Bog or Marsh OAT: Oats FCD: Fodder Crops DCW: Deciduous Wood Coniferous Woodl CER: Cereals FRT: Soft and Top Fruit CFW: Horticultural Crops Ploughed MZE: Maize HRT: PLO: OSR: Oilseed Rape LEY: Ley Grass FLW: Fallow (inc. Set as

Sugar Beet

Heathland

HTH:

POT: Potatoes PGR: Permanent Pasture SAS: Set Aside (where kased LIN: Linseed RGR: Rough Grazing OTH: Other

BEN: Field Beans SCR: Scrub

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate EX: AE: Aspect Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay	C:	Clay
			Loam		
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: **M:** Medium (< 27% clay) **H:** heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones
CH: Chalk

SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone

ST: MD: Moderately Strongly develop developed F: Medium Ped size Fine M: C: Coarse VC: Very coarse S: Single grain M: Massive Ped Shape GR: Granular AB: Angular blocky SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

Adherent

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

V: Visual S: Sieved D: Displacement

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' might

also be noted as RRC.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

 N:
 None
 M:
 Many
 20-40%

 F:
 Few
 <2%</th>
 VM:
 Very Many
 >40%

C: Common 2-20%

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of roots per 100cm²: Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse Few 1-10 1 or 2 F: C: Common 10.25 2 - 5 25-200 M: Many >5 A: Abundant >200

ROOT SIZE

 VF:
 Very fine
 <1mm</th>
 M:
 Medium
 2 - 5mm

 F:
 Fine
 1-2mm
 C:
 Coarse
 >5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

 Sharp:
 <0.5cm</td>
 Gradual:
 6 - 13cm

 Abrupt:
 0.5 - 2.5cm
 Diffuse:
 >13cm

Clear: 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

^{*} See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.